



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,589	02/12/2002	Richard Jelbert	550-245	2881	
7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER		
Nixon & Vanderhye			TODD, GREGORY G		
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201-4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3 ,			2157	6	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/913,5		JELBERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summar			Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this com	Gregory (2157	dress			
Period for Reply	numcation appears on th	e cover sneet was are o	onespondence da	u. coo			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIC THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the prov after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the sta um statutory period will apply and w reply will, by statute, cause the ap nths after the mailing date of this co	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 20	02.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condi	tion for allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to result of the day o	is/are withdrawn from co						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	-						
) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of: 1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/16/01</u>. 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)			

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action in response to application filed, with the above serial number, on 12 February 2002 in which claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Claims 1-20 are therefore pending in the application.

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
 - REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a

Application/Control Number: 09/913,589 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content for the disclosure to contain:

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/913,589

Art Unit: 2157

(2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/913,589

Art Unit: 2157

- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (k) <u>Sequence Listing.</u> See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

3. A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim.

A claim which depends from a dependent claim should not be separated by any claim which does not also depend from said dependent claim. It should be kept in mind that a dependent claim may refer to any preceding independent claim. In general, applicant's sequence will not be changed. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

4. Claims 4, 7-12, 14-16, and 18-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other

Art Unit: 2157

multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

5. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, "form" is suggested to be replaced with "from". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nielsen (hereinafter "Nielsen", 6,453,327).

Nielsen teaches the invention as claimed including a collaborative email filtering system (see abstract).

As per Claim 1, Nielsen teaches an apparatus for processing electronic mail, said apparatus comprising:

mail fetching logic for fetching an electronic mail message for a user from a first mail server, said apparatus interacting as a first mail client with said first mail server (receive email) (at least col. 7, lines 20-40);

Art Unit: 2157

mail filtering logic for identifying at least one predetermined characteristic within said electronic mail message that is indicative of said mail message being unwanted by said user so as to identify said electronic mail message as either a wanted electronic mail message or an unwanted electronic mail message (characteristics of junk mail being identified) (at least col. 3, lines 25-52; col. 7, lines 20-40; col. 9, lines 8-20; col. 10, lines 15-36);

mail storage for storing at least wanted electronic mail messages identified by said mail filtering logic (inbox) (at least col. 7, lines 20-40; col. 8, lines 13-28);

mail delivery logic responsive to a mail delivery request from a second mail client for delivering wanted mail for said user from said mail storage to said second mail client, said apparatus interacting as a second mail server with said second mail client (received email) (at least col. 7, lines 20-40; col. 8, lines 13-42);

characterised in that unwanted electronic mail messages are also stored within said mail storage (junk mail database) (at least col. 9, lines 8-20; col. 10, lines 15-36); and

said apparatus further comprises unwanted mail delivery logic responsive to an unwanted mail request from a user for delivering to said user unwanted electronic mail messages held within said mail storage for said user (junk email database) (at least col. 9, lines 8-37; col. 10, lines 15-36).

As per Claim 2, Nielsen teaches apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said mail filtering logic identifies a plurality of predetermined characteristics within an electronic mail message to derive a score value associated with said electronic mail

Art Unit: 2157

message, said electronic mail message being classified as an unwanted electronic mail message by comparing said score value with a threshold score value (reaching or exceeding a value) (at least col. 9, lines 21-37).

As per Claim 3, Nielsen teaches apparatus as claimed in any one of claims 1 or 2, wherein said plurality of predetermined characteristics include one or more of:

- (i) said electronic mail message has a sender identifier matching one or more known senders of unwanted electronic mail messages (at least col. 10, lines 15-37; background of Nielsen);
- (ii) said electronic mail message has a subject identifier or message text including text matching one or more known texts indicative of unwanted electronic mail messages (at least col. 10, lines 15-37; background of Nielsen);
- (iii) said electronic mail message has a header with a format characteristic matching one or more known format characteristics indicative of unwanted electronic mail messages (at least col. 10, lines 15-37; background of Nielsen);
- (iv) said electronic mail message includes a message identifier matching a message identifier of electronic mail messages sent to other users and held within said mail storage indicating that the same electronic mail message has been sent to multiple users (at least col. 10, lines 15-37; background of Nielsen); and
- (v) said electronic mail message has a reply address identifier that may be validly used to send a send a reply to said electronic mail message (at least col. 10, lines 15-37; background of Nielsen).

Art Unit: 2157

As per Claim 5. Nielsen teaches apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said unwanted mail request is an WWW page request from said user and said unwanted electronic mail messages are returned to said user as WWW pages (at least Fig. 4; col. 7, lines 4-40).

As per Claim 6. Nielsen teaches apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein said mail filtering logic is responsive to an unwanted mail confirmation signal from a user confirming that an electronic mail message is an unwanted electronic mail message to modify said at least one predetermined characteristic such that other instances of said electronic mail message received by other users are also confirmed as unwanted electronic mail messages (trusted group member indicating junk email) (at least col. 7 line 43 - col. 8 line 12).

As per Claim 13. Nielsen teaches apparatus as claimed in claim 1, wherein unwanted mail storage logic operates to delete unwanted electronic mail messages from said mail storage in accordance with predetermined parameters in order to recover storage capacity within said mail storage being used by said unwanted electronic mail messages (deleting junk mail) (at least col. 11, lines 43-67; col. 12, lines 42-56).

Claims 17 and 20 do not add or define any additional limitations over claim 1 and therefore are rejected for similar reasons.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McCormick et al, Canale et al, Schutzman et al, Miller et al,

Art Unit: 2157

Page 10

Cotten, and Hall are cited for disclosing pertinent information related to the claimed invention. Applicants are requested to consider the prior art reference for relevant teachings when responding to this office action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory G Todd whose telephone number is (703)305-5343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory Todd

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100

SALEH NAJJAR BRIMARY EXAMINER